



Rice Soil Fertility Classification and Constraints in the Mekong Delta

VO QUANG MINH

*Department of Land Resources, College of Agricultural and Applied Biology,
Cantho University, Vietnam
E-mail: vqminh@ctu.edu.vn*

LE QUANG TRI

*Department of Land Resources, College of Agricultural and Applied Biology,
Cantho University, Vietnam*

Received 29 December 2009

Accepted 5 March 2010

Abstract In the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, areas of intensive rice production have been rapidly enlarged. Soil fertility degradation in this system can be one of the most important factors contributing to the yield decline. Information on soil fertility and recommendations on improving soil constraints will provide basic data for proper soil management, land evaluation and land use planning. A Fertility Capability Classification (FCC) system incorporates characteristics of soil morphology, soil physics, and soil chemistry. Data from 300 soil profiles in rice fields and 28 field experiments on fertilizers efficiency were collected, showing that there were 25 rice soil fertility types, in which types **CC** (clay in top and subsoil) and **CCs** (clay in top and subsoil and saline effected) occupied in large extent. The major soil constraints for rice cultivation can be listed as follows: low organic carbon content (**o**); high P fixation and high Fe toxicity potential (**i**); potential salinity (**s**⁻); low available P (**p**); high acidity and Al toxicity (**a**); the separation of actual acid sulfate soils (**c**, **c**⁻) and potential acid sulfate soils (**f**, **f**⁻).

Keywords FCC, soil fertility, constraints, modifier

INTRODUCTION

In the Mekong Delta, rice cultivation is usually irrigated and highly productive, featuring multiple rice crops. They also face serious problems, including the unsustainable exploitation of water and soils, inefficient use of chemical inputs, and emerging or worsening disease and pest problems. The intense and increased pressure on land leads to its degradation and pollution, which may result in a partial or complete loss of its productive capacity.

According to Sanchez (2001), differences in soil taxonomy are important to establish the broad picture, but what does it mean in agronomic and ecological terms? The problem with soil taxonomy is that it quantifies only permanent soil parameters, most of which are located in the subsoil. To overcome this limitation, a Fertility Capability soil Classification system (FCC) was developed more than 25 years ago to interpret soil taxonomy and soil tests in a quantitative manner that is relevant to growing plants (Buol et al., 1975; Sanchez et al., 1982). It is now widely used and is included in the worldwide FAO soils database (FAO, 1995). Most of class limits are borrowed from Soil taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1994) or the FAO/Unesco soil classification system (FAO, 1974). Emphasis is placed on features that are easily detectable in the field, such as texture, color, depth of horizons, presence or absence of mottles, etc. Soil analytical laboratory data are only used to support the classification if available. The strength of this system is its ease of use, which allows the soil to be classified at several locations simply and quickly. To facilitate the easy transfer of information about soil properties and constraints, the system consists of a series of individual letters to describe the soil. These properties signify fertility limitations with different interpretations, and represented by small letters.

Based on the integrated system for soil fertility evaluation, it was used for soil fertility classification and recommendation for rice soil of the Mekong Delta, where rice cultivation dominantly applies, which can assist the land use planner or agricultural extension officers in identify the constraints, and recommend for proper use.

METHODOLOGY

The Fertility Capability Classification (FCC) from Sanchez et al (2003), with some modifications from Vo Quang Minh (2007) was used as reference system. Approximately about 300 top and subsoil samples in rice fields were collected within the Mekong Delta from 1996 to 2007 (Fig. 1) for soil chemical and physical analysis (soil texture, pH, ECe, Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , K^+ , Na^+ ; Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , CEC, organic matter, P available) and soil profile description based on the guideline of FAO (1974), which was recommended by the system, and data of 28 field experiments on fertilizers efficiency for rice cultivation. Fourteen (14) modifiers that indicate the soil fertility status and effect to rice growth in the Mekong Delta were determined: **a**, **a⁻** (Al toxicity, low pH), **c**, **c⁻** (actual acid sulfate soils), **e** (high leaching potential), **k** (low Nutrient Capital Reserves), **f**, **f⁻** (potential acid sulfate soil), **g⁺** (constant saturation), **i** (high phosphorus fixation), **n⁻** (potential Sodic), **s**, **s⁻** (saline), **o** (low organic matter status), and **p** (low inherent P content), which effect to rice root. In which, modifiers **p**, **o**, **c**, **c⁻**, **f**, **f⁻** were added by Vo Quang Minh (2007), and superscripts + or - indicate a greater or lesser expression of the modifier. The soil fertility was named as soil texture of each soil layer (**C**, **L**, **S**) plus modifiers.



Fig. 1 The Mekong delta in Vietnam

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil fertility classification for rice cultivation in the Mekong Delta

The term “FCC” is used to indicate adaptation of the Fertility Capability Classification, as developed by Sanchez and Buol (1982), and Sanchez et al (2003), and modified by Vo Quang Minh (2007) to the soil and rice growing conditions present in the Mekong delta, which deal with soil morphology, physic, and chemistry characteristics. We set out below the meaning of each soil fertility type, including 25 soil fertility types, which was converted from soil map at 1/250.000 scale, identified by its corresponding letter and the corresponding limits (Table 1).

Table 1 Summary of soil fertility capability classification (FCC) for rice cultivation in the Mekong Delta (Based on a system from Sanchez et al, (2003), Vo Quang Minh (2007) and the conversion of rice soil map - WRB 1998 at scale 1/250,000)

FCC	Soil fertility capability interpretation	ha	%
CC	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface	328,382	18.6
CCs	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, severe salinity in subsoil (s)	327,099	18.4
LC	texture is loamy (L) between 0 and 20 cm, and a clay (C) between 20 and 50 cm	160,013	9.0
CCc ⁻	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, Moderately actual acid sulfate soils (c)	128,952	7.2
CCi ⁺	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, iron toxicity if prolonged, soil submerged (i ⁺)	117,015	6.6
CCai ⁺	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), iron toxicity, if prolonged soil submerged (i ⁺)	109,560	6.1
CCv	texture is clay (C) within 50cm from the soil surface, cracking clays(v)	102,027	5.7
LLacp	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), strongly actual acid sulfate soil (e), low inherent P content(p)	100,863	5.6
LL	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface	61,990	3.4
LLai	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), high phosphorus fixation (i)	54,003	3.0
CCacps ⁻	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), strongly actual acid sulfate soil, low inherent P content (p), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	44,739	2.5
CCf	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, moderately potential acid sulfate soils (f)	35,773	2.0
LLs ⁻	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, potential salinity (s)	30,175	1.7
LLc ⁻	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, Moderately actual acid sulfate soils (c)	28,494	1.6
CCc ^{s-}	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, Moderately actual acid sulfate soils (c), potential salinity (s)	25,287	1.4
LLfs ⁻	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, shallow potential acid sulfate soils (f), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	25,210	1.4
LLf	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, moderately potential acid sulfate soils (f)	21,749	1.2
LLc ^{s-}	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, Moderately actual acid sulfate soils (c), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	16,180	0.9
LLi	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, high phosphorus fixation (i)	15,941	0.9
CCacp	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), strongly actual acid sulfate soil (c), low inherent P content (p)	14,001	0.7
LLfs ⁻	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, moderately potential acid sulfate soils (f), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	11,472	0.6
LLi ⁺	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, iron toxicity if prolonged soil submerged (i ⁺)	5,051	0.2
CCfs ⁻	texture is clay (C) within 50 cm from the soil surface, moderately potential acid sulfate soils (f), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	3,760	0.2
LLf	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, shallow potential acid sulfate soils (f)	3,506	0.2
LLacps ⁻	texture is loamy (L) within 50 cm from the soil surface, soil is very acid (a), strongly actual acid sulfate soil (c), low inherent P content (p), slightly salinity in subsoil (s)	15	0.001
		1,771,267	100

The constraints for crops cultivation

The attribute used in the system is the lower-case letters of the constraints that have been identified for that soil indicated in the soil fertility type. Based on the field observations, soil analysis of chemical, physical, rice field behaviour, and from several field experiments results, the major soil constraints for rice cultivation in the Mekong Delta can be identified and grouped as below.

Constraints related to soil mineralogy

High leaching potential (e): Soils with a low cation exchange capacity (CEC) have topsoils with a low organic matter content, a low clay content, clay minerals with low CEC, or all these properties. These soils have a low inherent fertility and also a low capacity to retain nutrients added as fertilizer. More exacting N management needed; identifies degraded paddy soils and low organic matter; if potential H₂S toxicity can occur if (NH₄)₂SO₄ is used as N source; in coarse texture soils often Mn deficient; application of large organic material keeps pH low even after flooding.

High phosphorus fixation (i): This constraint is caused primarily by a high content of free ferric oxides (Fe₂O₃) in the clay fraction, which fix phosphate ions in unavailable forms. It is a feature also found in strongly acidic soils, and hence commonly associated with the **a**, or **a**⁻ constraint, aluminium toxicity. High P fixation by Fe; P deficiency likely; Fe toxicity potential; soils difficult to puddle and will regenerate original structure rapidly.

Low Nutrient Capital Reserves (k): Low inherent fertility because of low inherent reserves of weatherable minerals; potential K deficiency depending on base contents of irrigation water.

Low organic matter status (o): N deficient; response to N fertilization very likely; low ECEC on sandy soils; N fertilizer should be applied in frequent, small doses.

Low inherent P content (p): Plant available P deficient; response to small additions of P fertilization very likely.

Constraints related to soil reaction

Al toxicity, low pH (a, a⁻): These are soils in which the exchange complex is dominated by alumina. The problem is commonly described as one of strongly acidic soils, can be caused by strong leaching from high rainfall, and mainly from oxidation of sulfidic material, which often associated with **c**, **c**⁻ modifiers. Aluminium toxicity will occur in aerobic layers.

Actual acid sulfate soils (c, c⁻): Al and Fe toxicity, low pH, and P deficiency, which originated from oxidation of sulfidic material.

Potential acid sulfate soil (f, f⁻): Potential acid-sulfate soils, causing Fe and S toxicity when anaerobic and Al toxicity when aerobic; depth at which **f** modifier occurs determines feasibility of rice production; Zn deficiency common; prevent seepage from this areas.

Constant saturation (g⁺): Prolonged submergence causes Zn deficiency. N loss increased if soil is intermittently flooded and drained.

Saline (s, s⁻): Defines saline soils; drainage needed, consider conductivity of irrigation water.

Potential Sodic (n⁻): This soil has a slightly high content of sodium but is low in calcium and magnesium salts causing soil dispersion, puddling, poor infiltration and poor aeration, and if sodium is high in the plow layer, increased probability of surface crust formation. Defines sodic soils; reclaiming with drainage and gypsum applications may be needed; Zn deficiency common.

Strategies for better utilization of soil and soil fertility conservation

The management requirements are given per interpreted soil property or group of properties. A complete listing of all possible combinations is not given because only a limited number of combinations of soil properties will be found in any area under consideration. At large scale, however, interpretation of the soil properties in relation to farming systems, local expertise or rice varieties could be a valuable extension tool.

Table 2 Extent of modifiers and soil fertility constraints in rice soils of the Mekong Delta (Based on the conversion of rice soil map-WRB at 1/250.000 scale)

Modifiers	Soil fertility constraints	Area (ha)
p	low available P	327,099.4
s	Strongly salinity of subsoils	327,099.4
a	Acid soil, iron, aluminium toxicity	323,183.1
i⁺	High phosphorus fixation and high Fe toxic potential	231,628.0
c⁻	Depth actual acid sulfate in subsoil	198,914.3
c	shallow actual acid sulfate soils	159,619.2
s⁻	slightly salinity in subsoils	156,840.9
v	Cracking clays soils when working the soil, we meet obstacle because soil usually flood out, rice root can be broken when soil is dry	102,027.1
f⁻	Potential acid sulfate in subsoil	72,757.0
i	High phosphorus fixation	69,944.7
f	Shallow potential acid sulfate soils	28,716.8

Each soil unit can have more than 1 indicator

The management requirements are based on Smith (1989), Sanchez et al (1982, 2003), Vo Quang Minh (2007), field observations, and several experiments in the Mekong Delta on soil management, reclamation, fertility adaptation, rice varieties, etc. A description of soil fertility or management constraint identified is given below.

Al toxicity, low pH (a, a⁻): Soluble and exchangeable acidity should be removed as much as possible by leaching before applying amendments. Leaching with fresh water is efficient in removing free H₂SO₄, and efflorescences of soluble Fe and Al salts from the soil. Due to directly effected by low pH, and high Al contents (Vo Thi Guong, 1997), on very low soil pH and very high Al, the amount of lime should be changing from 6 to 10 ton/ha (Vo Tong Xuan et al, 1982).

Actual acid sulfate soils (c, c⁻): Iron and aluminium or manganese toxicities and phosphorus deficiency are common. Physical properties are very poor. Jarosite mottles occur at 10 to 50cm depth (c) or more than 50cm (c⁻). This soil should not be drained. Draining results in a dramatic decrease in pH. High liming rates (greater than 10t/ha every 3 to 4 years) or long term leaching would then be required for crop production (Van Breeman and Pons, 1978). The most profitable practice is shallow drainage to grow one crop of a medium-term rice (Vo-Tong Xuan et al., 1982).

High leaching potential (e): These soils suffer from a combination of low organic matter content and a coarse texture, resulting in an extremely low cation exchange capacity. Soil management interventions to remedy these constraints are bound to be expensive and often unprofitable as they imply a change in the clay-humus complex through considerable organic matter and/or high activity clay inputs.

Potential acid sulfate soil (f, f⁻): When f soils are exposed to air and are low in calcium carbonate, FeS₂ is oxidized to ferric sulfate and free sulfuric acid, producing pH values on the order of 2 or 3. Drained acid sulfate soils are extremely infertile. Flooded rice is often grown, since under constantly reduced conditions, the pH is sufficiently high to eliminate aluminium toxicity.

High phosphorus fixation (i): High P-fixing soils can be identified as those with clayey topsoils having red or yellowish colours indicative of high contents of iron oxides, usually accompanied by a strong granular structure. These soils require high levels of phosphate fertilizers or special P management practices.

Low Nutrient Capital Reserves (k): Potassium fertilizers must be added. Usually the K fertilizer rates are low on newly cleared land but they increase with time. Generally these soils have also limited capacity to retain nutrients and the potassium, calcium and magnesium added can be easily lost (Vo Thi Guong, 1997; Nguyen My Hoa, 2003).

Prolong submergence (g⁺): Prolonged submergence causes Zn deficiency, especially on all year round cultivation soil remittently flooded and drained. H₂S toxicity symptom can occurred if soil high in organic matter (Ponnamperuma, 1977)

Potential Sodic (n⁻): Reclamation requires the replacement of Na⁺ on the exchange complex by Ca²⁺ and leaching of Na⁺ out of the root zone. Soil permeability and internal drainage must also be improved so the displaced sodium ions can be leached out of the root zone. Common mineral amendments used are: gypsum, phosphogypsum, calcite and other acid-forming salts like iron and aluminium sulfates, limesulphur and pyrites.

Low organic matter status (o): N deficient; response to N fertilization very likely; low ECEC on sandy soils; N fertilizer should be applied in frequent, small doses. Increasing the levels of organic matter in these soils would improve nutrient supply, increase CEC, increase water holding capacity (P. W. Moody et al, 2008).

Low inherent P content (p): P management should be considered as a long-term investment in soil fertility, and it is more effective to prevent P deficiency than to treat P deficiency symptoms. P requires a long-term management strategy because P is not easily lost or added to the root zone by biological and chemical processes that affect N supply.

Saline (s, s⁻): Na, Ca, Mg, Cl, and SO₄ are the major ions involved. Presence of soluble salts requires drainage and special management for salt-sensitive rice varieties. Total reclamation of saline soils is often impractical because of the lack of high quality water for irrigation and leaching. Wetland rice production may be an economical alternative.

CONCLUSION

A Fertility Capability Classification (FCC) system, based on the work of Sanchez et al (2003), Vo Quang Minh (2007) was used for rice soil fertility in the Mekong Delta relies mostly on the topsoil properties that indicate the soil fertility capability and affect rice production and some properties at subsoil which related to topsoil properties. There were 25 rice soil fertility types, in which clay soil texture in both layers and without modifiers (CC) and the same as soil with saline (s) modifier (CCs) occupied largest extent. The major soil constraints for intensive rice cultivation in the Mekong Delta including High P fixation and potential Fe toxicity (i⁺), Potential salinity (s⁻), Low available P (p), acid and Al toxicity (a), respectively. However, constraints of actual acid sulfate (c, c⁻), potential acid sulfate (f, f⁻), and low organic carbon status (o), are major constraints for intensive rice cultivation in the Mekong Delta. The major strategies for better utilization of soil could be the reclamation of acid sulfate and saline soils by leaching acid and soil toxicity, and improving soil nutrient status such as N, P, K fertilizers and organic matter application.

REFERENCES

- Breeman, N.V. and Ponts, L.J. (1978) Acid sulfate soils and rice. Soils and Rice. International Rice Research Institute, 739-763, Philippines.
- Buol, S.W., Sanchez, P.A., Cate, R.B. and Granger, M.A. (1975) Soil fertility capability classification, A technical soil classification system for fertility management. Soil Management in Tropical America. N. C. State Univ. 126-145, USA.
- FAO (1974) A framework for land evaluation. Soils Bulletin, 32, Italy.
- FAO (1995) Digital soil map of the world and derived soil properties. Italy.
- FAO (1998) World reference base for soil resources. World Soil Resource reports, 84, Italy.
- Moody, P.W., Cong, P.T., Legrand, J. and Chon, N.Q. (2008) A decision support constraints to upland soils. Soil Use and Management, 24, 148-155.
- Nguyen My Hoa (2003) Soil potassium dynamics under intensive rice cropping. A case study in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Ph.D. dissertation, Wageningen University, Netherlands.
- Pedro A. Sanchez (2001) Tropical soils, climate and agriculture, An ecological divide? Harvard Conference on Raising Agricultural Productivity in the Tropics, USA.
- Ponnamperuma, F.N. (1977) Screening for tolerance to mineral stresses. IRRI Res. Pap. Ser., 6, 21, Philippines.
- Sánchez, P.A., Palm, C.A. Palm and Buol, S.W. (2003) Fertility capability soil classification, A tool to help assess soil quality in the tropics. Geoderma, 114, 157-185.
- Sánchez, P.A., Couto, W. and Buol, S.W. (1982) The fertility capability soil classification system, Interpretation, applicability and modification. Geoderma, 27(4), 283-309.

- Smith Christopher W. (1989) The fertility capability classification system (FCC) - 3rd approximation, A technical soil classification system relating pedon characterization data to inherent fertility characteristics. Ph.D dissertation, North Carolina State University, USA.
- Soil Survey Staff (1994) Keys to soil taxonomy. 6th edition, United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, USA.
- Vo Thi Guong (1997) Application of fertilizers for crops in the Mekong Delta. Agricultural soil resources, fertilizer application in Vietnam. Tre Publication house, 12-31, Vietnam. (in Vietnamese)
- Vo Quang Minh (2007) Developing a system for rice soil fertility classification in the Mekong Delta. Ph.D dissertation, Cantho University, Vietnam. (in Vietnamese)
- Vo-Tong Xuan, Nguyen Kim Quang and Le Quang Tri (1982) Rice cultivation on acid sulphate soils in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. Proceedings of the Bangkok Symposium on Acid Sulphate Soils, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Publication No.3-I, 251-259, Thailand.