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#### Abstract

Waste water from animal farm especially from poultry industry is one of the sources of non-point source water pollution in Thailand. Plants can be a practical tool for waste water treatment. Aquatic fern (Azolla pinnata) has been used to for organic matter, nitrogen and phosphorus removal from waste water and can be good for increase soil fertility. The objectives of this study were to use Azolla pinnata for poultry industry wastewater treatment and to assess the potential use of Azolla pinnata biomass for increasing fertility of soil resource. The results found that Azolla pinnata can reduce BOD of wastewater from slaughter to $41 \%$ and produce the biomass of Azolla pinnata $90,167,245 \%$ in 100, 50, $25 \%$ dilution of wastewater, respectively. This study demonstrated that Azolla pinnata can be taken into consideration as a tool for wastewater treatment from agriculture activities especially suitable wastewater treatment for small poultry farming.
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## INTRODUCTION

The poultry industry is one of the largest and fastest growing agro-based industries in the world. There is an increasing demand for poultry meat mainly due to its acceptance by most societies and its relatively low cholesterol content. The poultry industry is currently facing a number of environmental problems. One of the major problems is the accumulation of large amount of wastes, especially manure and litter, generated by intensive production. The accumulation of these wastes may pose disposal and pollution problems unless environmentally and economically sustainable management technologies are evolved (Bolan et al., 1992). The poultry industry should mitigate environmental consequences associated with air and water quality parameters that are impacted. Therefore, the development of cost-effective technologies for wastewater treatment should be studied.

Azolla, a genus of floating aquatic ferns is distributed throughout tropical and temperate regions of the world (Kitoh et al., 1993). Azolla possesses the ability to utilize atmospheric $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ due to a symbiosis with the blue-green alga Anabaena Azollae, which grows in the cavities of Azolla leaflets. Azolla has been used extensively and effectively for green manure in rice fields instead of chemical fertilizer in Asia. Interest in the use of this plant as a biological filter for the renovation of waste water has increased (Watanabe et al., 1992). Nowadays, poultry production in Thailand has increased and made the treatment of its waste and wastewater an urgent environmental issue. Azolla pinnata was chosen as an aquatic plant for the wastewater treatment because of its effeicency in removing organic and nutrient wastes and because the biomass can be used for improves soil fertility.

## OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were to test the role of Azolla pinnata in poultry wastewater treatment and increased soil fertility for sustainable soil resources.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Test organism

Azolla pinnata used in our experiments was successful cultured under control laboratory conditions at the Ecotoxicology and Environmental Sciences Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Thailand.

## Experiment

The experiment was conducted in 2008 using Azolla pinnata to treat the wastewater and ran for a 7-d period. Water samples from the poultry industry were collected and analyzed for water quality parameters following the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (APHA 1998). Biomass of Azolla pinnata was recorded after experiment (Vermaat, 1998).

Laboratory tests were performed in order to examine the efficiency of using A. pinnata to treat the wastewater from poultry industry. Extensive monitoring of the treatment efficiency was performed by collecting weekly samples from the different treatment units. All physico-chemical analyses for pH , COD, BOD, NPK, TSS, dissolved oxygen were performed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 1998). Each pond made of cement occupied $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area and was 0.48 m deep. The experimental ponds were inoculated with A. pinnata, at 60 g fresh aquatic fern per container.

The plant growth rate and yield were monitored after the experiment in each pond. The harvested biomass was drained, weighed and dried in an oven at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dry matter content was calculated. The dry matter was powdered in a tissue grinder and 0.2 g was used for organic N analysis. As many as 0.1 g of the powder was taken and burned at $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The ash was analysed for phosphorus content using the persulfate digestion method (APHA, 1998) followed by the vanadomolybdate colorimetric method (APHA, 1998).

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis including calculation of average values, standard deviation (S.D.) and regression was performed on the data obtained in the tests with Microsoft Excel and SPSS 12.0. Test of significance was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by Statistic V8.

## RESULTS

The water quality parameters of poutry effluent are shown in Table 1. The results showed that Azolla pinnata can reduce BOD of wastewater from slaughter to $41 \%$ and produce the biomass of Azolla pinnata $90,167,245 \%$ in 100, $50,25 \%$ dilution of wastewater, respectively (Tables 2 and 3 ).

Table 1 Selected water quality of poultry wastewater effluent

| Parameter | Source of water |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leachate from chicken <br> manure | Wastewater from <br> slaughter |
| pH | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| EC $(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| BOD $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | 500 | 1450 |
| Oil \& Grease (mg/L) | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| TKN (mg/L) | 63 | 70 |
| TP $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | 6.48 | 6.89 |
| SS $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | 20 | 20 |
| TS $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | 4600 | 1140 |
| TDS $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | 4580 | 1120 |

Table 2 Potential of using A. pinnata for poultry wastewater treatment in BOD reduction

| Water Treatment | BOD (mg/L) |  | \% Change of BOD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After |  |
| Leachate from chicken manure | $500 \pm 50$ | $400 \pm 70$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Leachate from chicken manure | $500 \pm 50$ | $850 \pm 25$ | $+70 \%$ |
| + A. pinnata |  |  |  |
| Wastewater from slaughter | $1450 \pm 50$ | $1050 \pm 100$ | $-27.5 \%$ |
| Wastewater from slaughter <br> + A. pinnata | $1450 \pm 50$ | $850 \pm 50$ | $-41.37 \%$ |
| Value are mean + standard deviation. Mean with the same letter in the column are not significantly |  |  |  |
| different $(P>0.05) . ~$ |  |  |  |

Table 3 Biomass production of $\boldsymbol{A}$. pinnata after poultry wastewater treatment

| Leachate from chicken maure | Biomass |  | Biomass production (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After |  |
| $100 \%$ | 40 cell | 0 cell | 0 |
| $50 \%$ | 40 cell | 13 cell | 0 |
| $25 \%$ | 40 cell | 18 cell | 0 |
| Leachate from slaughter | Biomass |  | Biomass production (\%) |
|  | Before | After |  |
| $100 \%$ | 40 cell | 76 cell | $90 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | 40 cell | 107 cell | $167 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ | 40 cell | 138 cell | $245 \%$ |

Table 4 Biomass production and nutrient $(\% \mathrm{~K}, \% \mathrm{P}$ and $\% \mathrm{~N})$ of $\boldsymbol{A}$. pinnata in waste water treatment

| Treatment | $\% \mathrm{~K}$ | $\% \mathrm{P}$ | $\% \mathrm{~N}$ | \% Biomass production |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 4.63 | 0.75 | 2.66 | 142.67 |
| Azolla in 100 | 2.43 | 0.57 | 1.98 | 0 |
| Azolla in 50 | 3.45 | 1.29 | 2.50 | 45.10 |
| Azolla in 25 | 8.92 | 0.75 | 3.51 | 150.33 |

Table 5 Potential of using A. pinnata for slaughter wastewater treatment in BOD reduction after 1,2 , and 3 weeks

| Treatment | Week 0 |  | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | \% BOD <br> Reduction |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 1325.0 | A | 1300.0 | A | 1275.0 | A | 1325.0 |
| A | $30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100+azola | 1325.0 | A | 1225.0 | A | 1225.0 | A | 1225.0 |
| B | $27.54 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 641.67 | B | 675.00 | B | 650.00 | B | 655.00 |
| C | $3.06 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50+azola | 641.67 | B | 416.67 C | 408.33 C | 383.33 | D | $40.25 \%$ |
| 25 | 416.67 | B | 350.00 CD | 350.00 CD | 375.00 | D | $10.01 \%$ |
| 25+azola | 416.67 | B | 266.67 D | 241.67 D | 233.33 | E | $44.01 \%$ |
| CV | 17.39 | 8.39 | 7.48 | 3.15 |  |  |  |
| t-test | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |  |

Value are mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Mean with the same letter in the column are not significantly different $(P>0.05) .{ }^{a}$ values are mean $\pm$ standard deviations $(n=3)$.

From Table 5, the use of A. pinnata in wastewater could reduce BOD values compared with control with a significant difference ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) in each treatments. BOD values were reduced within the first week and more reduced in Week 3. The use of Azolla in the treatment of wastewater from a chicken farm, $25 \%$ and $50 \%$ can reduce BOD up to $44 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively. From Table 6, the use of $A$. pinnata in wastewater could reduced COD values compared with controla significantly difference ( $p<0.01$ ) in each treatment. COD values were significantly reduced in week 3 espectially in wastewater $50 \%$ could reduce the COD value at most $87.5 \%$.

Table 6 Potential of using A. pinnata for slaughter wastewater treatment in COD reduction after 1,2 , and 3 weeks

| Treatment | Week 0 | Week 1 |  | Week 2 |  | Week 3 | \% COD <br> Reduction |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 5200.0 A | 3900.0 | A | 2925.0 | A | 3900.0 | A |
| 100+azola | 5200.0 A | 2275.0 | B | 1408.3 B | 2816.7 | B | $45.00 \%$ |
| 50 | 2600.0 B | 1950.0 | B | 812.50 C | 1137.5 | C | $12.50 \%$ |
| 50+azola | 2600.0 B | 845.00 C | 715.00 CD | 715.00 CD | $87.50 \% *$ |  |  |
| 25 | 1040.0 C | 758.33 C | 595.83 CD | 595.83 D | $31.25 \%$ |  |  |
| 25+azola | 1040.0 C | 563.33 C | 303.33 D | 281.67 D | $72.92 \%$ |  |  |
| CV | 27.9 | 22.53 | 26.21 | 19.47 |  |  |  |
| t-test | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $* *$ |  |  |  |

Values are mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Mean with the same letter in the column are not significantly different $(P>0.05))^{a}$ values are means $\pm$ standard deviations $(n=3)$.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study showed that Azolla pinnata was suitable for the wastewater treatment from chicken industry especially from the slaughter. The results found that Azolla pinnata can reduce BOD and COD in wastewater from slaughter and produce the biomass of Azolla pinnata. As the wastewater associated high amount of nutrient in experimental can increase the Azolla pinnata biomass and accumulate the nutrient. Therefore, biomass can be used for agriculture land to improve soil fertility.
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